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A B S T R A C T

To evaluate and improve the seismic performance of a ±800 kV ultra-high voltage (UHV) wall bushing-valve hall system, a finite element model of the system was established. Sixteen different earthquake ground motions were adopted in the analysis, and the critical seismic responses of the system were obtained. Moreover, a vibration theoretical model of the UHV wall bushing-valve hall system was established, and an investigation was conducted on the influence of different vibration components on the wall bushing seismic responses. The results indicate that the valve hall has an amplification effect on the seismic responses of the wall bushing and the vibration of the gable wall has a remarkable influence on the responses of the wall bushing. Two retrofitting measures were carried out on the valve hall to reduce the seismic responses of the wall bushing. It is concluded that increasing the stiffness of the gable wall could improve the seismic performance of the UHV wall bushing-valve hall system.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Substations are critical nodes in the electrical lifeline system and vulnerable to earthquakes [1]. The failure of any substation may lead to power interruption and make it difficult in disaster relief and rebuilding. In past decades, earthquakes destroyed many substations and electric facilities. In the 1994 Northridge Earthquake (in and around Los Angeles, CA, USA), the seismic failure of the electric facilities in substations interrupted the power supply [2]. In 2007, a 500 kV porcelain transformer bushing was set on fire in the Niigata-Chuetsu Oki Earthquake in Japan and led to the outage of a nuclear power plant [3]. The 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake in Sichuan, China destroyed many insulators and bushings, which were always fractured at their base cross sections [4]. Additionally, the 2011 Tohoku Region Pacific Offshore Earthquake erupted with a magnitude of Mw 9.0, fracturing a total of 621 electric insulators, including many high voltage bushings [5]. Other notable earthquakes occurred in New Zealand in 2010 and 2011, which destroyed many electric facilities in substations [6].

Increasing the voltage could reduce the line loss in power transmission systems. In fact, the ultra-high voltage (UHV) direct current (DC) power transmission technology was developed to meet the needs of long-distance power transmission in China [7,8]. A UHV converter substation is defined as the substation adopted in a DC project where the design voltage is equal to or higher than ±800 kV. A wall bushing is indispensable in the converter substation for connecting the thyristor valves in the valve hall (indoors) and the post insulators in the DC yard (outdoors). Just as the name implies, the wall bushing is installed on the gable wall of a valve hall to keep the electric clearance between conductors and walls (Fig. 1).

Like the wall bushing mounted on the gable wall of the valve hall, many bushings and insulators are installed on supporting structure, e.g., transformer bushings mounted on turrets, surge arresters and post insulators mounted on steel frames. Recent studies have verified that a good seismic performance of bushings installed on a rigid base in a laboratory does not correspond well with the high vulnerability observed in the field during earthquakes. The experiments also imply that the interaction between bushings and supporting structures has an influence on the seismic performance of the equipment [9,10]. Further investigation revealed that the vibration of the turrets of a power transformer amplifies the seismic responses of transformer bushings and the amplification effect is one of the main reasons for the seismic failure of transformer bushings [11]. To suppress the amplification effect, Koliov et al. added flexural stiffeners on the cover plate of the transformer tank numerically and experimentally, and the amplification factors were reduced [10,12]. This phenomenon indicates that the amplification effect could be reduced by changing the supporting structural dynamic properties. In terms of pillar apparatus, the dynamic amplification effect of supporting structures has been investigated by researches. A theoretical model of the electric equipment-supporting structure has been established [13]. The stiffness ratio and mass ratio of equipment and the support structure on the dynamic amplification effect was studied [13]. For tests, Günay and Mosalam found that the insulators of the
disconnect switch experienced the maximum responses when the fundamental frequencies of the insulators and the supporting structure were similar, which means that enlarging the fundamental frequency difference between the electric equipment and supporting frame could reduce the interaction between the two components [14]. Li et al. tested the dynamic amplification effect of the supporting frame of a 1000 kV UHV surge arrester and developed a structural design procedure for selecting the suitable supporting frame to minimize the amplification effect [15]. Whitaker et al. conducted a shaking table test on a 230 kV disconnect switch and carried out finite element (FE) analyses on the disconnect switch with different supporting frames. The influence of different supporting frames on the seismic responses of the disconnect switch was evaluated [16]. As for the seismic performance of the wall bushing, Xie et al. carried out shaking table tests on a full size UHV polymer wall bushing and found that the strength of the wall bushing does not satisfy the required relevant standards [17,18]. In the test, the bushing was mounted on a steel supporting frame, and the type of the wall bushing was same as the bushing in this paper. The fundamental frequency of the steel supporting frame was 44 Hz and could be seen as a rigid body. An amplification factor of 2 was adopted for the input earthquake ground motions to simulate the amplification effects of the valve hall [17].

However, few studies have been published on the interaction between the wall bushing and the valve hall; thus, the authors determined to fill some information gaps by evaluating the amplification effect of the valve hall. In the IEEE 693 standard and the GB 50260 standard, an amplification factor of 2.0 was adopted to evaluate the seismic performance of the transformer bushing mounted on a rigid base [18,19]. However, both the IEEE 693 and GB 50260 standards still lack stipulations about the interaction in the valve hall-wall bushing system [18,19].

Fig. 2 shows the dimensions of a type of 150 kV, 400 kV and 800 kV wall bushings. In addition, the lengths, masses, diameters, thicknesses and the fundamental frequencies of the wall bushings in three different levels of voltage are listed in Table 1. According to Fig. 2 and Table 1, as the voltage increases, the UHV wall bushing is longer, heavier and could be seen as a rigid body. An amplification factor of 2 was adopted for the input earthquake ground motions to simulate the amplification effects of the valve hall [17].

The length, width and height of a ±800 kV UHV valve hall, a steel structure used in many complete DC projects, are 86 m, 36 m and 31.6 m, respectively (Fig. 3). Distances of columns in the gable wall range from 5.4 m to 9 m. The columns GZ1 and GZ2 are wide flange H shaped member, with dimensions 900 × 600 × 20 × 28 and 700 × 500 × 16 × 25, respectively. The cross sections of the square tubes used for the beams between the columns are 200 × 200 × 8. Additionally, braces with cross section in wide flange H shape of 250 × 250 × 12 × 12 are placed between the columns and beams. The schematic diagrams of the steel valve hall are shown in Fig. 3. The shapes and dimensions of the cross sections of the beams, columns and braces of the valve hall are shown in Fig. 4. The wall bushing is mounted on the frame shown in the circle in Fig. 3(b). The beams, columns and braces of the frame are square tubes with dimensions of 400 × 400 × 13 (□D400), 350 × 350 × 12.5 (□D350) and 100 × 100 × 6 (□D100), respectively.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage (kV)</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>800</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of indoor bushing (mm)</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of outdoor bushing (mm)</td>
<td>3870</td>
<td>5760</td>
<td>7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of mental connection unit (mm)</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>11,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The insulators of the wall bushing are made from polymer material, a composite material widely used in electric facilities for insulation and structural elements. The wall bushing contains an indoor bushing, an outdoor bushing and a metal connection unit between the two polymer bushings to mount the equipment on the gable wall (Fig. 5). The indoor and outdoor bushings join the connection unit by flange. An aluminum conductor passing through the wall bushing transmits the electric current from the indoor terminal to the outdoor terminal. A resin-impregnated paper capacitor packet is wrapped around the central conductor to keep the stability of the electromagnetic field and to guarantee the effectiveness of the inner insulation of the wall bushing. Silicon rubber sheds around the external surfaces of the insulators are used was established and analyzed. After that, two retrofitting measures for improving the seismic performance of the system were put forward and verified.

## 2. UHV wall bushing-valve hall system

### 2.1. Structure of valve hall

The insulators of the wall bushing are made from polymer material, a composite material widely used in electric facilities for insulation and structural elements. The wall bushing contains an indoor bushing, an outdoor bushing and a metal connection unit between the two polymer bushings to mount the equipment on the gable wall (Fig. 5). The indoor and outdoor bushings join the connection unit by flange. An aluminum conductor passing through the wall bushing transmits the electric current from the indoor terminal to the outdoor terminal. A resin-impregnated paper capacitor packet is wrapped around the central conductor to keep the stability of the electromagnetic field and to guarantee the effectiveness of the inner insulation of the wall bushing. Silicon rubber sheds around the external surfaces of the insulators are used was established and analyzed. After that, two retrofitting measures for improving the seismic performance of the system were put forward and verified.
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The insulators of the wall bushing are made from polymer material, a composite material widely used in electric facilities for insulation and structural elements. The wall bushing contains an indoor bushing, an outdoor bushing and a metal connection unit between the two polymer bushings to mount the equipment on the gable wall (Fig. 5). The indoor and outdoor bushings join the connection unit by flange. An aluminum conductor passing through the wall bushing transmits the electric current from the indoor terminal to the outdoor terminal. A resin-impregnated paper capacitor packet is wrapped around the central conductor to keep the stability of the electromagnetic field and to guarantee the effectiveness of the inner insulation of the wall bushing. Silicon rubber sheds around the external surfaces of the insulators are used was established and analyzed. After that, two retrofitting measures for improving the seismic performance of the system were put forward and verified.
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</tbody>
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for external insulation. The configuration of the wall bushing and the facilities in the wall bushing are shown in Fig. 6.

Total length of the UHV wall bushing is 20.2 m, and the wall bushing weighs 11.45 t. Lengths of the indoor bushing, outdoor bushing and the metal connection part are 8.1 m, 10.1 m and 2.0 m, respectively. The wall bushing in the UHV converter substation is mounted on the gable wall of the valve hall with an inclined angle of 10°. Configuration and dimensions of the wall bushing mounted on the gable wall in the valve hall are shown in Fig. 5.

2.3. FE model and dynamic characteristics

The finite element software package ABAQUS [20] was adopted to simulate the wall bushing-valve hall system. In the FE model, the beams, columns and braces of the valve hall are simulated by B31 beam elements. Additionally, the installation plate in the valve hall for mounting the bushing is simulated by S4R shell elements. For the wall bushing, the C3D8R solid elements are used to simulate the polymer insulators, central conductor and flanges. In addition, some simplifications...
had to be made in the FE model, i.e., a) the silicon rubber sheds around the bushings for external insulation and the resin-impregnated paper capacitor packet around the central conductor for internal insulation were simplified as equivalent masses because Young's modulus of them were small; b) the equivalent density of the polymer insulators was increased to simulate the weight of the dielectric oil inside the UHV wall bushing and to maintain the location of the center of gravity without changing the dynamic characteristics of the UHV wall bushing; c) the connections of all components in the UHV wall bushing are rigid in the FE model. In the FE model of the wall bushing, the Young's modulus of 16 GPa and 70 GPa for the polymer material and the aluminum conductor, respectively, were provided by the manufacturer. After considering the assumptions listed above, the equivalent density of the polymer insulators and the conductors are 6040 kg/m$^3$ and 2417.8 kg/m$^3$.

The FE model and the coordinates of the UHV wall bushing-valve hall system is shown in Fig. 7. The X, Y axis and the Z axis are parallel and perpendicular to the horizontal plane, respectively. The wall bushing was mounted in a Y-Z vertical plane. According to the IEEE 693 standard and GB 50260 standard, damping ratios of each mode in the vibration direction and the ratios of the ZPA in different directions should be $YZ = 1:0.85:0.65$, which means that the ZPA in the X axis and Z axis are 0.34 g and 0.26 g, respectively. The coordinates for the 16 earthquake ground motions were selected from the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center (PEER) ground motion database [21] and were modified by SeismoMatch software [22]. According to the standard [18], the ARS has a 2% exceedance probability in 100 years as shown in Fig. 8(a).

The zero period acceleration (ZPA) in RRS should be 0.4 g in the main vibration direction and the ratios of the ZPA in different directions should be $Y:X:Z = 1:0.85:0.65$, which means that the ZPA in the X axis and Z axis are 0.34 g and 0.26 g, respectively. The coordinates for the 16 earthquake ground motions are same as the coordinates in Fig. 7. When the damping ratio is 2.0%, the ARS and the mean ARS of the 16 earthquake ground motions could envelope the required response spectra (RRS) in the GB 50260 standard [18]. According to the standard [18], the ARS has a 2% exceedance probability in 100 years as shown in Fig. 8(a). The zero period acceleration (ZPA) in RRS should be 0.4 g in the main vibration direction and the ratios of the ZPA in different directions should be $Y:Z = 1:0.85:0.65$, which means that the ZPA in the X axis and Z axis are 0.34 g and 0.26 g, respectively. The coordinates for the 16 earthquake ground motions are same as the coordinates in Fig. 7. When the damping ratio is 2.0%, the ARS and the mean ARS of the 16 earthquake ground motions in different directions, compared with the RRS, are shown in Fig. 8. According to Fig. 8, the mean ARS of the 16 time histories could accommodate the RRS well. Peak ground acceleration (PGA) and other information of these 16 time histories are listed in Table 2.

### 3. Seismic performance of UHV wall bushing-valve hall system

#### 3.1. Earthquake ground motions

To evaluate the seismic performance of the wall bushing-valve hall system, 16 earthquake ground motions were selected from the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center (PEER) ground motion database [21] and were modified by SeismoMatch software [22]. After that, the acceleration response spectrum (ARS) of the 16 earthquake ground motions could envelope the required response spectra (RRS) in the GB 50260 standard [18]. According to the standard [18], the ARS has a 2% exceedance probability in 100 years as shown in Fig. 8(a). The zero period acceleration (ZPA) in RRS should be 0.4 g in the main vibration direction and the ratios of the ZPA in different directions should be $Y:X:Z = 1:0.85:0.65$, which means that the ZPA in the X axis and Z axis are 0.34 g and 0.26 g, respectively. The coordinates for the 16 earthquake ground motions are same as the coordinates in Fig. 7. When the damping ratio is 2.0%, the ARS and the mean ARS of the 16 earthquake ground motions in different directions, compared with the RRS, are shown in Fig. 8. According to Fig. 8, the mean ARS of the 16 time histories could accommodate the RRS well. Peak ground acceleration (PGA) and other information of these 16 time histories are listed in Table 2.

#### 3.2. Seismic responses of the system

In previous earthquakes, bushings and post insulators have always fractured at their base cross sections (Section B1-B1 and B2-B2 in Fig. 5), which means that the stress responses in the base cross sections of the indoor and outdoor insulators are critical parameters for evaluating the seismic performance of the wall bushing. The maximum stresses of the insulators of indoor and outdoor bushings were obtained under the excitation of time history RSN1504, and the corresponding values are 95.88 MPa and 87.53 MPa, respectively. With reference to the standard [18], the safety factor is defined as the ratio of ultimate strength of the polymer material to the maximum stresses in the insulators. Considering the ultimate strength of 75 MPa for the polymer material provided
Flexible bundled conductors are connected in the two terminals of the wall bushings to transmit electric current (Fig. 5). Many researchers found that the tensile force in conductors is one of the main reasons for the failure of electrical equipment in earthquakes when the seismic displacement of equipment was too large [23]. Average maximum displacements of the terminals of the indoor and outdoor bushings in the system are 298.12 mm and 238.47 mm, respectively. Necessary slackness should be allowed in the conductors to avoid the impact forces from conductors. Average displacements of the wall bushing terminals mounted on rigid base are 95.17 mm and 104.91 mm, respectively. After the wall bushing is mounted on the valve hall, the terminal displacements are almost doubled and can even triple the corresponding values of the wall bushing mounted on a rigid base.

4. Seismic interaction between wall bushing and valve hall

4.1. ARS of the wall bushing-valve hall system

Under the excitation of the 16 different earthquake ground motions, the average ARS at the terminals of the indoor bushing and outdoor bushing are shown in Fig. 9(a) and (b). The first two predominant frequencies of the ARS at the terminals of the indoor and outdoor bushing are 1.185 Hz and 2.15 Hz. The former one is the first resonance frequency of the wall bushing-valve hall system and the latter one is close to the first frequency of 2.152 Hz, which pertains to the valve hall itself. The peak value of the latter one, however, is much larger than the peak value of the ARS at 1.185 Hz. The prominent vibration frequency of the bushing is correlated with the resonance frequency of the valve hall, which indicates that the dynamic properties of the valve hall

![Fig. 7. FE model of UHV wall bushing-valve hall system.](image)

![Fig. 8. RRS in Chinese standard and the mean spectra of the 16 earthquake time histories (damping ratio $\xi = 0.02$).](image)
has a great influence on the seismic responses of the wall bushing mounted on it. Moreover, in the ARS of the terminals of the indoor and outdoor bushing, there is no peak with the corresponding frequency of 1.51 Hz, which is the fundamental frequency of the wall bushing itself.

The average ARS at the base of the wall bushing and the average ARS of 16 earthquake ground motions in the Y direction are shown in Fig. 9 (c) and (d), respectively. Comparing the two figures, the spectral characteristics at the base of the wall bushing are quite different from the input earthquake motions. The acceleration time histories at the base of the UHV wall bushing were filtered by the valve hall. Three predominant frequency points, 2.15 Hz, 3.125 Hz and 5.0 Hz, appeared in the ARS at the base of the wall bushing (Fig. 9(c)). Three mode shapes of the gable wall with similar resonance frequencies are shown in Fig. 10, and the corresponding frequencies of the three modes are 2.152 Hz, 3.131 Hz and 5.045 Hz, which are close to the predominant frequencies in Fig. 9(c). Fig. 10(a) and (b) represent the lateral and torsional vibration of the valve hall, respectively. In these two mode shapes, the vibration modes of the gable wall are similar and it vibrates in the Y direction. In Fig. 10(c), the out-of-plane rocking vibration mode of the gable wall is observed and the rocking effect also has an influence on the acceleration responses of the wall bushing, which is demonstrated by the third peaks in the ARS in Fig. 9(c). The differences between the three predominant frequencies in Fig. 9(c) and the three resonance frequencies of the mode shapes in Fig. 10 are influenced by the mass of the wall bushing.

4.2. Vibration components of wall bushing

To analyze the interaction between the wall bushing and the valve hall, a simplified theoretical model of the system was established (Fig. 11(a)). The indoor and outdoor bushings were simplified as cantilever beams and the constraints of the valve hall were abstracted to springs to support the bushing. \( L_i \) and \( L_o \) denote the length of the indoor and outdoor bushings, respectively, and \( a \) denotes the diameter of the flange plate of the metal connection unit of the wall bushing.

Taking the horizontal deformation of the outdoor bushing as an example, the seismic displacements of the bushing could be decomposed into three parts (Fig. 11(b)). The total terminal displacements \( D \) of the outdoor bushing is

\[
D = d_1 + d_2 + d_3
\]
where $d_1$ is the translational displacement generated by the deformation of the valve hall, $d_2$ is the rotational displacement from the rocking effect of the gable wall, $d_3$ is the deformation of the bushing itself. $\theta$ denotes the out-of-plane rotational angle of the gable wall. The displacements perpendicular to the flange plate at the left and the right side edges of the flange at the base of the connection unit are represented by $U_1$ and $U_2$ (Fig. 11(b)). $d_2$ and $\theta$ could be represented by Eqs. (2) and (3).

$$d_2 = \theta L_0$$

$$\theta = \frac{U_1 - U_2}{a}$$

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1), the acceleration components can be obtained by finding the second derivative of Eqs. (1) and (3):

$$A = A_t + A_r + A_b$$

$$A_t = \frac{A_1 - A_2}{a} L_0$$

In Eqs. (4) and (5), $A$ denotes the total acceleration of the wall bushing, $A_t$ and $A_r$ are the accelerations obtained at the left and the right side edge of the metal flange, corresponding to $U_1$ and $U_2$. $A_t$ denotes the acceleration generated by the rocking effect, $A_r$ is the horizontal acceleration in the $Y$ direction at the base of the bushing as well as the translational acceleration generated by displacements of the valve hall, and $A_b$ is the acceleration generated by bushing deformation. In Eqs. (4) and (5), $A_t$, $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_b$ could be obtained from the FE results. Moreover, $a$ equals 1320 mm, and $L_0$ equals 10.1 m in this UHV wall bushing.

Taking the seismic responses of the outdoor bushing under the excitation of earthquake RSN6 as an example, $A_t$, $A_r$, and $A_b$ were obtained from the FE results and $A_b$ could be calculated according to Eq. (4). The acceleration components are shown in Fig. 12. Comparing the four figures, the acceleration generated by the bending deformation contributes to a large proportion of the total terminal acceleration, followed by the acceleration generated by the translational vibration from the deformation of the valve hall.

**4.3. Moment components at the base cross section of bushing**

It is assumed that the mass of the wall bushing is $m$, and the mass is evenly distributed over the length of the wall bushing. The moment $M_t$ at the base cross section is generated by translation component $A_t$ and

![Vibration theoretical model of the wall bushing-valve hall system](image)

![Displacements components of outdoor bushing](image)

**Fig. 11.** Vibration theoretical model and displacement decomposition of the UHV wall bushing-valve hall system.
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![Bending acceleration components generated by bending deformation of the bushing](image)

![Translation acceleration generated by valve displacements](image)

![Rotation acceleration generated by out-of-plane rocking effect of gable wall](image)

**Fig. 12.** Total acceleration and different acceleration components at the terminal of outdoor bushing.

the moment $M_r$ generated by rocking component $A_r$, can be represented by Eqs. (6) and (7), respectively. The moment $M_t$ and moment $M_r$ can be expressed as

$$M_t(t) = m A_t(L_0, t) \frac{L_0}{2}$$

$$M_r = J_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2} m L_0 \Phi(x) D_3(t)$$

where $r$, $J$ and $\alpha$ denote time, the rotational inertia of the outdoor bushing and the angular acceleration of the gable wall, respectively. For the bending deformation of the outdoor bushing itself, the deformation could be assumed as Eq. (8) [24], which is expressed as

$$d_3(x, t) = \Phi(x) D_3(t)$$

where $\Phi$ is the deformation mode shape matrix of the outdoor bushing mounted on a rigid base, and $D_3$ is the amplitude time history matrix of the terminal displacements generated by different mode shapes. The
displacement of the bushing-like electrical equipment is mainly attributed to the first vibration mode \[23\]. The bending mode of the outdoor bushing in the theoretical model is assumed as the first mode shape of a cantilever beam without loss of generality as shown in Eq. \(9\) \[24\].

\[
\Phi(x) = \cos(ax) - \cosh(ax) - \frac{\cos(\alpha L_o) + \cosh(\alpha L_o)}{\sin(ax) + \sinh(ax)} \sin(ax) - \sinh(ax)
\]

where \(\alpha L_o = 1.875\). The amplitude \(D_t\) and second derivative \(\ddot{D}_t\) can be obtained by Eq. \(10\).

\[
\begin{aligned}
D_t(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(\alpha L_o) \\
\ddot{D}_t(t) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Phi(\alpha L_o)
\end{aligned}
\]

And, the moment at the base cross section generated by the bending deformation can be obtained according to Eq. \(11\).

\[
M_b(t) = m \int_0^{\alpha L_o} A_b(\alpha L_o, t) x \Phi(x) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial (\alpha L_o)} dx
\]

where

\[
A_b(x, t) = \Phi(x) \ddot{D}_t(t) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Phi(\alpha L_o) = A_b(\alpha L_o, t) \Phi(x) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial (\alpha L_o)}
\]

Different moment components at the base cross section of the outdoor bushing can be obtained by Eqs. \(6\), \(7\) and \(11\) (Fig. 13). According to Fig. 13(a) and (b), the peak moment generated by translation is 44.57 times that of the peak moment generated by the out-of-plane rocking vibration of the gable wall of the valve hall. Comparing Fig. 13 (a) and (c), the peak moment generated by translation is 18.9% of the peak moment in Fig. 13(c), generated by the bending deformation of the outdoor bushing itself. The translation component generates a large moment at the base section of the wall bushing.

5. Measures for improving seismic performance of the system

5.1. Retrofitting measures

According to the analysis above, the displacements of the valve hall would generate moments (translation component) at the base cross sections of the wall bushing, which means that increasing the lateral (Y direction) stiffness of the gable wall to suppress the translational
component could decrease the seismic responses of the wall bushing. To increase the stiffness of the gable wall of the valve hall, two measures were carried out and verified in the FE model.

Many UHV electric facilities, e.g., thyristor valves and post insulators, are installed in the valve hall and the DC yard. In order to keep the electrical clearance between the equipment and metal structures, the retrofitting measures cannot be set in the valve hall and the DC yard. Type I retrofit measure is defined as adding supplementary braces in the gable wall (Fig. 14(a)). The cross section of the supplementary braces is in an H shape and the dimensions are 250×250×12×12, which is the same dimensions as the cross sections of the original braces. The arrangement of the original and supplementary braces can be found in Fig. 14(b). In the circle of Fig. 14(b), a ±40 kV wall bushing should be installed, so there is no brace in this position. In order to further increase the lateral stiffness of the gable wall, the concrete shear wall was assembled in the model and the retrofitting measure was referred to as Type II (Fig. 15). The thickness of the concrete shear wall is 400 mm and the type of concrete is C30, which is a common concrete type in China [25].

In the FE model, the supplementary braces and concrete shear wall are simulated by the B31 beam element and S4R shell element in Abaqus software [20], respectively. The steel braces and the concrete shear walls do not occupy the room in the valve hall and the converter station, and do not affect the electrical clearance between the equipment and the structure, so they meets the electrical requirements. Moreover, comparing to the cost of damping and insulation measures, the two retrofit measures is cheap. And they are convenient to be taken in the projects under construction or completed projects.

5.2. Dynamic properties of retrofitted system

The sequence number of the modes and the corresponding frequencies of the lateral or out-of-plane rocking vibration of the gable wall after retrofitting are listed in Table 3. After the valve hall was retrofitted, the frequencies of the vibration increased. The maximum displacements of the gable wall in the corresponding mode shape are also listed in Table 3, and the deformation amplitude of the gable wall was much less than that before retrofitting. According to the analyses above, the lateral vibration model shape of the gable wall has great influence on the seismic responses of the wall bushing. According to Table 3, in the original structure of the valve hall, the gable wall, vibrated in the first mode shape. After retrofitted by retrofit countermeasure Type I, the main deformation in this mode shape is at the middle region of the valve hall (Fig. 16(a)). In the valve hall of retrofit countermeasure Type II, the out-of-plane rocking accompanied by a small amount of lateral vibration could be observed in the gable wall (Fig. 16(b)). As Fig. 9 (c) shows, both deformation types influenced the acceleration input at the base of the UHV wall bushing.

5.3. Retrofitting effects analysis

To evaluate the seismic performance of the retrofitted wall bushing-valve hall system, the seismic responses of the system are analyzed using the earthquake ground motions listed in Table 2. The peak stresses at the base cross sections of the indoor and outdoor insulators under the 16 earthquake ground motions before and after retrofitting are shown in Fig. 17. According to Fig. 17, the average maximum stresses after retrofitting are much less than the responses of the original structure. For retrofit countermeasure Type I, the maximum stresses of the indoor and outdoor insulators are 44.53 MPa and 41.44 MPa, much less than the maximum stresses before retrofitting. The safety factors of the two insulators are 1.68 and 1.81. For retrofit countermeasure Type II, the maximum stresses are 43.75 MPa and 44.77 MPa, which means the safety factors are 1.71 and 1.68 for indoor and outdoor insulators, respectively. The safety factors are all larger than 1.67, recommended by standard GB 50260 [18], which means that the seismic performance of the system after retrofitting meets the requirements in the corresponding standard [18]. Considering the stress responses of the wall bushing mounted on a rigid base, the SAFs of the valve hall after retrofitting could be set at 2. Moreover, the safety factors of the retrofitted system are close to the limited value.

The peak terminal displacements of the indoor and outdoor bushings under the exact measurements of different earthquake ground motions before and after retrofitting are shown in Fig. 18. In terms of the maximum terminal displacements, the average peak values after retrofitting by the two measures are about half the corresponding value of the structure before it was retrofitted. After retrofitting, the wall bushing is more convenient for the design of coupling facilities, e.g., flexible bundled conductors. In conclusion, the retrofitting measures could improve the seismic performance of the wall bushing-valve hall system.

The ARS at the base of the wall bushing in the Y direction of the original and retrofitted structures are shown in Fig. 19(a). In the ARS, the first predominant frequency of each ARS at the base of the wall bushing are 2.15 Hz, 2.63 Hz and 2.94 Hz, respectively, which is similar to the natural frequencies of the valve hall before and after retrofitting (Table 3). After retrofitting, the peak value of the response spectra decreased and the increase of the predominant frequencies in the spectrum could be observed. The ARS peak values of the retrofitted structure are much less than the corresponding values of the original structure, which are in accordance with the tendency of the stress and displacement responses before and after retrofitting. Moreover, this ARS declination is the first reason for the reduction of seismic responses. The differences between the predominant frequencies of the ARS and the fundamental frequency of the bushing itself are enlarged, which is the second reason for the reduction of the seismic responses. This phenomenon is in accordance with the conclusion in reference [14].

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retrofit no.</th>
<th>Mode no.</th>
<th>Frequency/Hz</th>
<th>Displacements of gable wall in mode shape</th>
<th>Mode shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Lateral vibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>Lateral vibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>Out-of-plane rocking with lateral vibration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ARS in the $Y$ direction at the terminals of the indoor and outdoor bushings in the original structure and the two retrofitted structures are shown in Fig. 19(b) and Fig. 19(c). The peak values of the retrofitted UHV wall bushing-valve hall system decreased. This means that increasing the lateral stiffness of the gable wall by braces or by a concrete shear wall could improve the seismic performance of the wall bushing-valve hall system.

In Fig. 19(a), the second peak value of Type II retrofit measure is larger than others and the corresponding frequency of the peak is 4.94 Hz. The 53rd mode shape of the valve hall with Type II retrofit measure, of which the frequency is 4.941 Hz, is shown in Fig. 20. The wall bushing is mounted on the frame in the gable wall (shown in the circle in Fig. 20). In the 53rd mode shape, the displacement of the mounting frame is 1.0, which is the maximum value in model shape. The displacements of the frame in other mode shapes or in Type I retrofit measure are listed in Table 3 in the revised manuscript, and they are less 1. This is the reason why the second peak of the acceleration response spectra of Type II retrofit measure is greater than others.

6. Conclusions

The results of the numerical study on a ±800 kV UHV wall bushing-valve hall system indicate that the seismic performance of the system cannot meet the requirements in the Chinese GB 50260 standard. The strength safety factors of both indoor and outdoor polymer insulators are less than the recommended value in the standard. The valve hall has an amplification effect on the seismic responses of the wall bushing. Stress amplification factor is close to 3 as the wall bushing is mounted on the valve hall. When evaluating the seismic performance of a wall bushing mounted on a rigid base, the dynamic amplification effect of the valve hall cannot be ignored and a dynamic amplification factor should be taken into consideration.

The translational component generated by the vibration of the valve hall ranks only second to the vibration component generated by the bending of the bushing itself. Increasing the lateral stiffness of the gable wall could restrain the vibration of it and reduce the seismic responses of the wall bushing. The peaks of the acceleration response spectra at the base of the wall bushing reduced, and the predominant frequencies of the response spectra increased, which decreased the amplification effect of the valve hall and the seismic responses of the UHV wall bushing.

The lateral stiffness of the gable wall could be increased by supplementary braces or concrete shear wall. After increasing the lateral stiffness of the gable wall, the seismic performance of the system will be improved. Under the excitation of the precautionary earthquake ground motions and after retrofitting by the two types of measures, the average safety factors are larger than the recommended value in the Chinese standard [18].
The stiffness of the valve hall would affect the seismic performance of the wall bushing mounted on it. Further investigation needs to be carried out on the relationship between the stiffness of the valve hall and the seismic performance of the wall bushing. As increasing the stiffness of the valve hall could decrease the seismic responses of the wall bushing, after further investigation, the minimum stiffness ratio of the valve hall to the wall bushing needs to be put forward.
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